Case 1:11-cr-00354-LJO Document 441 Filed 01/24/14 Page 1 of 11

1 2 3	BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney KIMBERLY A. SANCHEZ Assistant United States Attorney 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401	
4	Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 497-4000	
5	Facsimile: (559) 497-4099	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America	
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 1:11-CR-00354 LJO
11	Plaintiff,	MEMORANDUM OF PLEA AGREEMENT
12	v.	PURSUANT TO RULE 11(C)(1)(C) OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE
13	SHAWN MICHAEL CAMERON,	DATE: TO BE DETERMINED.
14	aka, "BUBBLES," aka, "Tanner,"	TIME: TO BE DETERMINED. COURT: 4, HON. LAWRENCE J. O'NEILL
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Pursuant to Rule II(c)(1)(C) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the United States of	
18	America, by and through Benjamin B. Wagner, the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of	
19	California, and Assistant United States Attorneys Kimberly A. Sanchez, Kathleen A. Servatius, and Melanie	
20	L. Asworth, and Defendant, Shawn Michael Cameron, and his attorneys, Ann McGlenon and Eric Kersten,	
21	have agreed as follows:	
22	1. Charges.	
23	The defendant acknowledges that he has been charged in an indictment as follows:	
24	COUNT 1: 21 U.S.C. §§ 846, 841(a)(1) - Conspiracy to Distribute and Possess With Intent to	
25	Distribute Methamphetamine and Cocaine;	
26	COUNTS 2-16, 18, 21, 24, 26, 28, 30, 34: 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) – Distribution of Methamphetamine	
27	COUNTS 17, 19-20, 22-23, 25, 27, 29, 31-33, 35, 37: 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) – Possession With	
28	Intent to Distribute Methamphetamine	
	Shawn Cameron Plea Agreement	1

2. Nature, Elements and Possible Defenses.

The defendant has read the charges against him contained in the indictment and those charges have been fully explained to him by his attorney. Further, the defendant fully understands the nature and elements of the crime in Count One of indictment to which he is pleading guilty, together with the possible defenses thereto, and has discussed them with his attorney.

COUNT 1:

The elements of the crime of Conspiracy to Distribute methamphetamine (500 grams and more) and cocaine are:

First, there was an agreement between two or more persons, to distribute methamphetamine and/or cocaine;

Second, the defendant became a member of the conspiracy knowing of at least one its objects and intending to help accomplish it; and

Third, the amount of methamphetamine involved was 500 grams or more of a mixture containing a detectable amount of methamphetamine.

- 3. Agreements by the Defendant.
- (a) Defendant agrees that this plea agreement shall be filed with the court and become a part of the record of the case.
- (b) Defendant agrees to enter a plea of guilty to Count 1 of the indictment which charges him with conspiracy to distribute methamphetamine and cocaine, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 846 and 841(a)(1).
- (c) Defendant recognizes that pleading guilty may have consequences with respect to his immigration status if he is not a citizen of the United States. Under federal law, a broad range of crimes are removable offenses, including the offense to which defendant is pleading guilty. Removal and other immigration consequences are the subject of a separate proceeding, however, and defendant understands that no one, including his attorney or the district court, can predict to a certainty the effect of his conviction on his immigration status. Defendant nevertheless affirms that he wants to plead guilty regardless of any immigration consequences that his plea may entail, even if the consequence is his automatic removal from the United States.

- (d) Defendant understands that pursuant to F.R.Crim.P. 11(c)(1)(C), the Court can accept the plea agreement, reject the plea agreement, or defer its decision until the Court receives a presentence report. Should the Court reject the plea agreement, the defendant has the right to withdraw his guilty plea. This is a package plea agreement with co-defendant Vanessa Cameron, so if the Court rejects her agreement or fails to sentence her on its non-custodial probation terms, the defendant Cameron has the right to withdraw his plea.
- (e) Defendant knowingly and voluntarily waives his Constitutional and statutory rights to appeal his plea, sentence and conviction except as set forth within this paragraph. The defendant is aware that Title 18, United States Code, Section 3742 affords a defendant the right to appeal any sentence imposed. Acknowledging this, the defendant knowingly and voluntarily agrees to waive all Constitutional and statutory rights to appeal his conviction and sentence, including, but not limited to an express waiver of appeal of this plea (including any venue and statute of limitations issues) and to attack collaterally his mental competence, and his plea, or his sentence, including but not limited to, filing a motion under 28 U.S.C. §2255, 28 U.S.C. 2241, or 18 U.S.C. §3742, or otherwise.

If the defendant's conviction on the counts to which he is pleading guilty is ever vacated at the defendant's request, or his sentence is ever reduced at his request, the government shall have the following rights: (1) to prosecute the defendant on any count to which he pleaded guilty; (2) to reinstate any counts that may be dismissed under this agreement; and (3) to file any new charges that would otherwise be barred by this agreement. The decision to pursue any or all of these options is solely in the discretion of the United States Attorney's Office. By signing this agreement, the defendant agrees to waive any objections, motions, and defenses he might have to the government's decision, including Double Jeopardy. In particular, he agrees not to raise any objections based on the passage of time with respect to such counts including, but not limited to, any statutes of limitation or any objections based on the Speedy Trial Act or the Speedy Trial Clause of the Sixth Amendment.

This waiver includes a waiver of any claim that the defendant's sentence should be reduced based upon any change in the sentencing guidelines with respect to the amount of cocaine base ("crack" or "rock") involved in the offense, regardless of whether such change includes a provision making it retroactive or whether any court ever holds that the application is retroactive.

(f) Defendant further acknowledges that his plea of guilty is voluntary and that no force, threats,

promises or representations have been made to anybody, nor agreement reached, other than those set forth expressly in this agreement, to induce the defendant to plead guilty.

- (g) Defendant agrees to a sentence of 32 years (384 months) imprisonment.
- (h) Forfeiture: Defendant agrees to forfeit to the United States voluntarily and immediately all of his right, title, and interest to any and all assets subject to forfeiture pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853(a). Those assets include, but are not limited to, the following: \$1,140 in U.S. Currency and a 2005 GMC Sierra C1550, California License Plate Number 7S49399, VIN 2GTEC13TX51137807.

Defendant agrees that the above-listed asset was involved in the commission of a violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1).

Defendant agrees to fully assist the government in the forfeiture of the listed assets and to take whatever steps are necessary to pass clear title to the United States. Defendant shall not sell, transfer, convey, or otherwise dispose of any of his assets, including but not limited to, the above-listed asset.

Defendant agrees not to file a claim to any of the listed property in any civil proceeding, administrative or judicial, which may be initiated. Defendant agrees to waive his right to notice of any forfeiture proceeding involving this property, and agrees to not file a claim or assist others in filing a claim in that forfeiture proceeding.

The defendant waives oral pronouncement of forfeiture at the time of sentencing and any defects in such pronouncement that pertain to forfeiture, and waives any defenses to forfeiture, including any defense predicated on the Ex Post Facto, Double Jeopardy, and Excessive Fines Clauses of the United States Constitution. The defendant knowingly and voluntarily waives any right to a jury trial in any criminal or civil forfeiture proceeding.

- (i) Defendant agrees to waive all rights under the "Hyde Amendment," Section 617, P.L. 105-119 (Nov. 26, 1997), to recover attorneys' fees or other litigation expenses in connection with the investigation and prosecution of all charges in the above-captioned matter and of any related allegations (including without limitation any charges to be dismissed pursuant to this Agreement and any charges previously dismissed).
- (j) The defendant understands that the Court must consult the Federal Sentencing Guidelines (as promulgated by the Sentencing Commission pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, 18 U.S.C. §§

3551-3742 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 991-998, and as modified by United States v. Booker and United States v. Fanfan, 543 U.S. 220 (2005), and must take them into account when determining a final sentence. Defendant understands that the Court will determine a non-binding and advisory guideline sentencing range for this case pursuant to the Sentencing Guidelines. Defendant further understands that the Court will consider whether there is a basis for departure from the guideline sentencing range (either above or below the guideline sentencing range) because there exists an aggravating or mitigating circumstance of a kind, or to a degree, not adequately taken into consideration by the Sentencing Commission in formulating the Guidelines. Defendant further understands that the Court, after consultation and consideration of the Sentencing Guidelines, must impose a sentence that is reasonable in light of the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

- 4. Agreements by the Government.
- (a) If the United States Probation Office determines that a three-level reduction in defendant's offense level for full and clear demonstration of acceptance of responsibility is appropriate under U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, the government will not oppose such a reduction and will so move under §3E1.1(b), so long as the defendant pleads guilty, meets with and assists the probation officer in the preparation of the pre-sentence report, is truthful and candid with the probation officer, and does not otherwise engage in conduct that constitutes obstruction of justice within the meaning of U.S.S.G § 3C1.1, either in the preparation of the presentence report or during the sentencing proceeding.

Recommendations

- (b) The government agrees to a sentence of 32 years (384 months) imprisonment.
- (c) The government agrees that the amount of a mixture of methamphetamine involved is no less than 15.9 kilograms.
- (d) The government agrees to enter into an 11(c)(1)(C) agreement with codefendant Vanessa Cameron to plead guilty to Unlawful Use of a Communication Facility, 21 U.S.C. § 843(b) with an agreement to recommend that the Court impose a sentence of probation with no additional custody time. The government agrees to dismiss the indictment as to Vanessa Cameron at the time of her sentencing. This provision is conditioned upon the defendant's compliance with the provisions set forth in this plea agreement.

- (e) The defendant acknowledges and understands that the government makes no other representations to him regarding fines, whether any other specific offense characteristics apply to his conduct his criminal history or criminal history points under Chapter Four or whether additional enhancements or reductions under Chapter Three or Five of the United States Sentencing Guidelines apply and defendant understands that the government is free to comment and to make recommendations to the court and the probation office regarding those matters.
- (f) The government agrees to dismiss the remaining counts of the indictment as to this defendant at the time of sentencing.
 - 5. Factual Basis.

Defendant will plead guilty because he is in fact guilty of the crimes set forth in Count 1 of the indictment. Defendant also agrees that the following are the facts of this case, although he acknowledges that, as to other facts, the parties may disagree:

During December 2009 through June 2010, the defendant was the regiment commander for the Kings County regiment of Nuestra Familia (hereinafter "NF"). The defendant oversaw the Kings County NF operations. One aspect of NF business was the importation and distribution of methamphetamine and cocaine, and the defendant oversaw that operation. The defendant worked with coconspirator who was an NF associate to bring in methamphetamine from Mexico. The defendant would then work with the coconspirator to distribute half pound to multi-pound quantities of methamphetamine to other regiments in and outside of California.

Between January and May, 2010, the defendant conspired with others to sell over 700 grams of methamphetamine to a confidential informant in 22 controlled buys with the assistance of other coconspirators.

Throughout the course of the case, the defendant with the assistance of coconspirators obtained over 45 pounds of methamphetamine that was distributed or intended to be distributed to various NF regiments throughout California, Utah and other states.

On April 1, 2010, the defendant, in conjunction with other coconspirators, directed the receipt of 6 fire extinguishers containing 2.5 pounds of methamphetamine each. On April 5, 2010, a coconspirator arranged to send 3 pounds of methamphetamine to the Sacramento NF regiment. Other coconspirators

On April 7, 2010, a coconspirator arranged to send a pound of methamphetamine to another coconspirator who was a regiment commander living in Los Banos. Other coconspirators delivered and

picked up the methamphetamine which was seized by law enforcement and contained 442.6 grams of

99.1 percent pure methamphetamine.

made the delivery and pick-up in Madera, California.

On April 9, 2010, a coconspirator arranged to provide the Modesto regiment a half-pound of methamphetamine. The methamphetamine was picked up by unindicted coconspirators and then seized in an interdiction stop in Merced County. Lab tests showed it weighed 221.8 grams and was 100 percent pure methamphetamine.

On April 13, 2010, a coconspirator took \$87,200 as payment and picked up approximately 7 pounds of methamphetamine in Madera from a runner for the source of supply.

On April 16, 2010, a coconspirator delivered approximately 1 pound of methamphetamine to another coconspirator from Sacramento. A payment of approximately \$20,000 was delivered to Hanford by another coconspirator.

On April 23, 2010, coconspirator arranged for and delivered 1 pound of methamphetamine to a coconspirator from Sacramento. The methamphetamine was seized in a traffic stop. It weighed approximately a pound and was over 99 percent pure.

On May 2, 2010, coconspirators arranged to purchase and pick up 1 kg of cocaine from another coconspirator.

On May 3, 2010 a coconspirator deliver 1 pound of methamphetamine to another coconspirator.

On May 4, 2010, coconspirators arranged and delivered 2 pounds of methamphetamine to Madera. The methamphetamine was destined for Sacramento (1 pound); Modesto (½ pound); pound for Madera (1/2 pound). On May 7, 2010, a coconspirator arranged to get ten pounds of methamphetamine from the source of supply, and to provide a payment of \$95,000. Other coconspirators picked the methamphetamine up and made the payment. The methamphetamine was packaged in fire extinguishers, and was seized by law enforcement. It weighed 4,438 grams and was over 97 percent pure. The \$95,000 was also seized from a runner for the source of supply.

On May 17, 2010, a coconspirator picked up one pound of methamphetamine from a

On June 3, 2010, law enforcement officers seized approximately 20 pounds of methamphetamine

from a car under the control/occupied by coconspirators. The defendant had orchestrated the purchase of the

2

1

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

methamphetamine from a Mexican source of supply with another coconspirator. The methamphetamine weighed 8986.6 grams and was 93.9 percent pure.

6. Potential Sentence.

The following is the maximum potential sentence which defendant faces:

(a) Imprisonment.

representative for the source of supply. He then delivered it to another coconspirator.

Maximum: Life.

(b) Fine.

Maximum: \$10,000,000

Mandatory Minimum: Ten years.

- (c) Both such fine and imprisonment.
- (d) Term of Supervised Release: Minimum: Five years.

Maximum: Life.

(Should the defendant violate any of the terms of his supervised release, he can be returned to prison for the period of supervised release actually imposed by the Court or five years, whichever is less.)

(f) Penalty Assessment.

Mandatory: One Hundred dollars (\$100.00).

- (g) Pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 862, the defendant may become temporarily or permanently ineligible for any and all federal benefits' and, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 862a, shall be ineligible for the following government benefits:¹
 - (1) assistance under any State program funded under part A of title IV of the Social Security

¹ The term "federal benefits" does not include any retirement, welfare, Social Security, health, disability, veterans benefit, public housing, or other similar benefit, or any other benefit for which payments or services are required for eligibility, but refers to the issuance of any grant, contract, loan, professional license, or commercial license provided by an agency or appropriated funds of the United States. 21 U.S.C. § 862(d)(1).

Act (42 U.S.C. § 601 et seq.);

- (2) benefits under the food stamp program (as defined in section 3h of the Food Stamp Act) (7 U.S.C. § 2012(h)) or any State program carried out under the Food Stamp Act of 1977 (7 U.S.C. § 2011 et seq.).
 - 7. Waiver of Rights.

Defendant understands that by pleading guilty he surrenders certain rights, including the following:

- (a) If defendant persisted in a plea of not guilty to the charges against him, he would have the right to be represented by an attorney at all stages of the proceedings, and would have a right to a public and speedy trial. The trial could be either a jury trial or a trial by a judge sitting without a jury.

 Defendant has a right to a jury trial. However, in order that the trial be conducted by the judge sitting without a jury, defendant, the government and the judge all must agree that the trial be conducted by the judge without a jury.
- (b) If the trial were a jury trial, the jury would be composed of twelve lay persons selected at random. Defendant and his attorney would have a say in who the jurors would be by removing prospective jurors for cause where actual bias or other disqualification is shown, or without cause by exercising peremptory challenges. The jury would have to agree unanimously before it could return a verdict of either guilty or not guilty. The jury would be instructed that defendant is presumed innocent and that it could not convict him unless, after hearing all the evidence, it was persuaded of his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.
- (c) If the trial were held before a judge without a jury, the judge would find the facts and determine, after hearing all the evidence, whether or not he was persuaded of the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.
- (d) At a trial, whether by a jury or a judge, the government would be required to present its witnesses and other evidence against defendant. Defendant would be able to confront those government witnesses and his attorney would be able to cross-examine them. In turn, defendant could present witnesses and other evidence on his own behalf. If the witnesses for defendant would not appear voluntarily, he could require their attendance through the subpoena power of the Court. At trial, the defendant would also have the

right to assistance of legal counsel. If he could not afford legal counsel, one would be appointed for him by the court at no expense to him.

(e) At a trial, defendant would have a privilege against self-incrimination so that he could decline to testify, and no inference of guilt could be drawn from this refusal to testify.

Defendant understands that by pleading guilty he is waiving all of the rights set forth above and defendant's attorney has explained those rights to him and the consequences of his waiver of those rights.

8. Questions by Court.

Defendant understands that if the court questions him under oath, on the record and in the presence of counsel, about the offense to which he has pleaded guilty, his answers, if false, may later be used against him in a prosecution for perjury.

9. Entire Agreement.

This plea of guilty is freely and voluntarily made and not the result of force or threats or of promises apart from those set forth in this plea agreement. There have been no representations or promises from anyone as to what sentence this Court will impose.

10. Court not a Party.

It is understood by the parties that the sentencing court is neither a party to nor bound by this agreement and the sentencing judge is free to impose the maximum penalties as set forth in paragraph 6 subject to the limitations set forth in F.R.Crim.P. 11(c)(1)(C) as set forth above. Further, in making its sentencing decision, the Court may take into consideration any and all facts and circumstances concerning the criminal activities of defendant, including activities which may not have been charged in the indictment.

11. Presentence Report.

Defendant understands that the United States Probation Office is not a party to this agreement and will conduct an independent investigation of defendant's activities and his background. It will then prepare a presentence report which it will submit to the Court as its independent sentencing recommendation. In addition, the government will fully apprise the Probation Office, as well as the Court, of the full and true nature, scope and extent of the defendant's criminal activities, including information on his background and criminal history.

BENJAMIN B. WAGNER

United States Attorney 1 DATED: 1-24-14 By 2 KIMBERLY A. SANCHEZ 3 KATHLEEN A. SERVATIUS MELANIE L. ALSWORTH 4 Assistant U.S. Attorneys 5 DATED: 1-17-2014 SHAWN CAMERON 6 Defendant Strawn Comern 7 DATED: 8 1-17-2014 ANN McGLENON 9 **ERIC KERSTEN** Attorneys for Defendant 10 WAN.M. Silveron 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28